

# Shakopee, MN

Comparisons by Demographic Subgroups

2016



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

# Summary

The National Citizen Survey<sup>™</sup> (The NCS<sup>™</sup>) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. This report discusses differences in opinion of survey respondents by age, sex, race/ethnicity, housing tenure (rent or own) and housing unit type (detached or attached).

Responses in the following tables show only the proportion of respondents giving a certain answer; for example, the percent of respondents who rated the quality of life as "excellent" or "good," or the percent of respondents who attended a public meeting more than once a month. ANOVA and chi-square tests of significance were applied to these comparisons of survey questions. A "p-value" of 0.05 or less indicates that there is less than a 5% probability that differences observed between subgroups are due to chance; or in other words, a greater than 95% probability that the differences observed are "real." Where differences were statistically significant, they have been shaded grey.

The margin of error for this report is generally no greater than plus or minus five percentage points around any given percent reported for the entire sample (410 completed surveys). For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the sample size for the subgroup is smaller. For subgroups of approximately 100 respondents, the margin of error is plus or minus 10 percentage points.

Notable differences between demographic subgroups included the following:

- Within the pillar of Community Characteristics, residents who were female, identified as white alone, not Hispanic, owned their homes or lived in detached housing tended to rate their neighborhood as a place to live higher than their peers. Similarly, residents who were white alone, not Hispanic, owned their homes or lived in detached housing rated Shakopee as a place to live more favorably than their counterparts. Where difference where significant, renters tended to rate aspects of Safety less positively than those who owned their homes. Residents who were 35 and older, who identified as Hispanic and/or another race, who rented or lived in attached housing tended to rate the cleanliness of Shakopee less favorably than their peers.
- Resident opinion by demographic groups tended to vary across facets of Governance. Where differences were significant, younger residents between the ages of 18-34 tended to rate general aspects of Governance higher than older residents. Meanwhile, residents who were 55 or older tended to rate aspects of Natural Environment such as garbage collection and recycling more favorably than younger residents. Residents identifying as White alone, not Hispanic, who owned their homes or lived in detached housing tended to give higher ratings to economic development than those identifying as Hispanic and/or other race, who rented or lived in attached housing.
- Several significant differences were noted among resident Participation in City events or activities. White alone, not Hispanic residents who owned their home or lived in detached housing were more likely to report they would recommend living in Shakopee to someone who asked. Residents who were between the ages of 35-54 or who were female tended to report they had been the victim of a crime more so than their counterparts. Younger residents between the ages of 18-34 were significantly less likely to have observed a code violation compared to older residents. Hispanic and/or other race identifying residents or those who were between the ages of 35-54 were more likely to report they worked in Shakopee compared to their peers. Homeowners were more likely to have reported engaging with the community than were renters, where significance was noted.
- Hispanic and/or other race respondents tended to rate the quality of overall natural environment in Shakopee, health and wellness opportunities in the City and sense of community as important community focus areas more so than their counterparts. Female residents or those who rented their home tended to provide more support for the City enforcing residential property codes than their male or home-owning counterparts. Additionally, females tended to place higher importance than their male peers on improving access to public transportation and construction of a third Fire Station to improve fire and emergency response times, as it related to addressing City projects in the next five years.

## Table 1: Community Characteristics - General

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sex	ĸ	Race/e	ethnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
The overall quality of life in Shakopee	85%	81%	77%	85%	78%	84%	70%	76%	82%	85%	76%	81%
Overall image or reputation of Shakopee	49%	58%	62%	58%	53%	57%	51%	56%	55%	55%	57%	56%
Shakopee as a place to live	86%	85%	84%	87%	83%	88%	74%	71%	89%	90%	78%	85%
Your neighborhood as a place to live	78%	78%	82%	83%	75%	81%	71%	67%	82%	88%	65%	79%
Shakopee as a place to raise children	73%	78%	81%	79%	75%	79%	69%	58%	81%	85%	63%	77%
Shakopee as a place to retire	60%	41%	62%	54%	49%	51%	55%	51%	52%	51%	53%	52%
Overall appearance of Shakopee	69%	65%	71%	69%	66%	72%	49%	50%	72%	74%	59%	68%

# Table 2: Community Characteristics - Safety

		Age		Sex	ĸ	Race/e	ethnicity		sing ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
very/somewhat safe)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Overall feeling of safety in Shakopee	82%	77%	79%	80%	80%	80%	76%	71%	81%	81%	77%	79%
In your neighborhood during the day	97%	90%	88%	93%	92%	93%	92%	90%	93%	94%	90%	92%
In Shakopee's downtown/commercial areas during												
the day	90%	89%	89%	89%	91%	90%	85%	81%	91%	91%	86%	89%

# Table 3: Community Characteristics - Mobility

		Age		Se	ĸ	Race/e	ethnicity		ising iure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	81%	78%	83%	80%	79%	81%	76%	71%	82%	83%	75%	80%
Traffic flow on major streets	81%	65%	75%	74%	71%	74%	65%	64%	75%	78%	65%	73%
Ease of public parking	79%	70%	69%	71%	74%	76%	61%	59%	76%	77%	67%	73%
Ease of travel by car in Shakopee	87%	79%	83%	83%	82%	88%	64%	62%	88%	90%	72%	83%
Ease of travel by public transportation in Shakopee	32%	43%	36%	36%	39%	43%	24%	26%	42%	44%	27%	38%
Ease of travel by bicycle in Shakopee	56%	59%	63%	66%	52%	59%	58%	61%	58%	63%	53%	59%
Ease of walking in Shakopee	61%	67%	72%	70%	61%	68%	57%	54%	69%	72%	55%	65%
Availability of paths and walking trails	69%	66%	77%	73%	65%	73%	55%	62%	71%	75%	61%	69%

## Table 4: Community Characteristics - Natural Environment

		A		G		D	ale as for the s		ising			
		Age		Se	X	Race/e	thnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
very/somewhat safe)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Quality of overall natural environment in												
Shakopee	78%	72%	82%	82%	71%	78%	69%	77%	76%	79%	72%	76%
Air quality	81%	77%	77%	78%	78%	82%	63%	66%	81%	83%	71%	78%
Cleanliness of Shakopee	84%	72%	79%	79%	76%	80%	68%	69%	80%	83%	70%	78%

# Table 5: Community Characteristics - Built Environment

								Hou	ising			
		Age		Sex	(	Race/e	thnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18-	35-				White alone,	Hispanic and/or					
very/somewhat safe)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	not Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Overall "built environment" of Shakopee (including overall												
design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	61%	60%	63%	65%	57%	63%	51%	58%	61%	62%	60%	61%
Public places where people want to spend time	61%	61%	71%	67%	5 <b>9</b> %	65%	54%	56%	64%	66%	58%	62%
Variety of housing options	68%	61%	60%	60%	66%	65%	55%	40%	69%	70%	54%	63%
Availability of affordable quality housing	63%	53%	45%	50%	60%	60%	35%	21%	64%	66%	39%	55%
Overall quality of new development in Shakopee	69%	62%	55%	65%	63%	64%	58%	52%	65%	65%	60%	63%

# Table 6: Community Characteristics - Economy

		Age		Sex	ĸ	Race/e	thnicity	Hou ten	ising ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Overall economic health of Shakopee	66%	68%	65%	67%	66%	70%	53%	62%	67%	68%	63%	66%
Shakopee as a place to work	48%	63%	80%	70%	53%	66%	46%	61%	61%	61%	62%	61%
Shakopee as a place to visit	65%	70%	73%	75%	63%	69%	66%	77%	66%	68%	70%	69%
Employment opportunities	62%	61%	70%	61%	65%	67%	49%	50%	67%	70%	53%	63%
Shopping opportunities	49%	39%	51%	42%	50%	46%	40%	42%	46%	44%	46%	45%
Cost of living in Shakopee	58%	52%	39%	49%	54%	56%	36%	37%	55%	57%	43%	51%
Overall quality of business and service establishments in Shakopee	63%	54%	54%	57%	57%	59%	47%	57%	57%	59%	53%	57%
Vibrant downtown/commercial areas	30%	34%	33%	31%	34%	35%	20%	31%	33%	34%	29%	32%

## Table 7: Community Characteristics - Recreation and Wellness

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sea	х	Race/e	ethnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
very/somewhat safe)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Health and wellness opportunities in Shakopee	69%	74%	77%	81%	66%	75%	63%	67%	74%	74%	71%	73%
Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes												
and paths or trails, etc.)	62%	61%	65%	66%	58%	64%	53%	59%	63%	66%	57%	62%
Recreational opportunities	68%	67%	70%	68%	68%	73%	48%	65%	69%	71%	63%	68%
Availability of affordable quality food	62%	54%	62%	61%	56%	62%	46%	54%	60%	60%	57%	59%
Availability of affordable quality health care	74%	75%	73%	78%	72%	78%	62%	63%	78%	82%	63%	75%
Availability of preventive health services	80%	74%	74%	77%	75%	79%	65%	67%	78%	79%	71%	76%
Availability of affordable quality mental health care	66%	51%	57%	57%	56%	61%	40%	51%	58%	63%	49%	57%

# Table 8: Community Characteristics - Education and Enrichment

								Hou	ising			
		Age		Sea	<b>K</b>	Race/e	thnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	67%	61%	72%	68%	63%	70%	47%	63%	66%	66%	64%	66%
Availability of affordable quality child care/preschool	52%	55%	65%	60%	50%	58%	50%	51%	56%	60%	47%	55%
K-12 education	67%	70%	73%	73%	67%	74%	55%	55%	73%	74%	62%	70%
Adult educational opportunities	52%	48%	56%	51%	53%	53%	44%	55%	50%	55%	46%	51%
Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities	43%	42%	38%	40%	44%	42%	38%	30%	44%	45%	36%	41%
Opportunities to participate in religious or spiritual events and activities	70%	63%	79%	65%	71%	74%	47%	63%	70%	72%	63%	69%

# Table 9: Community Characteristics - Community Engagement

		Age		Se	x	Race/e	thnicity		sing ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)	18- 34	18- 35-		Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Opportunities to participate in social events and activities	38%	49%	55%	46%	47%	50%	31%	32%	50%	53%	37%	47%
Opportunities to volunteer	46%	58%	75%	57%	60%	64%	36%	40%	63%	64%	50%	59%
Opportunities to participate in community matters	42%	54%	58%	46%	57%	56%	33%	31%	56%	54%	46%	51%
Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds	66%	54%	54%	57%	59%	60%	52%	45%	61%	62%	52%	58%
Neighborliness of residents in Shakopee	51%	55%	57%	56%	51%	55%	51%	43%	56%	61%	43%	54%

## Table 10: Governance - General

		Age		Sex	ĸ	Race/e	thnicity		ising ure	Housing	unit type	
	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
The City of Shakopee	73%	68%	75%	76%	65%	74%	60%	64%	73%	75%	65%	71%
The value of services for the taxes paid to Shakopee	57%	40%	49%	50%	46%	50%	38%	47%	47%	50%	43%	47%
The overall direction that Shakopee is taking	71%	56%	54%	65%	57%	62%	57%	58%	62%	65%	55%	61%
The job Shakopee government does at welcoming citizen involvement	51%	43%	59%	50%	46%	51%	39%	45%	49%	49%	48%	48%
Overall confidence in Shakopee government	65%	46%	51%	62%	46%	52%	61%	67%	50%	53%	55%	53%
Generally acting in the best interest of the community	74%	43%	54%	60%	51%	57%	52%	60%	54%	57%	53%	55%
Being honest	65%	48%	54%	59%	50%	57%	48%	59%	54%	56%	54%	55%
Treating all residents fairly	72%	48%	57%	59%	57%	61%	48%	56%	58%	62%	52%	58%
Overall customer service by Shakopee employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.)	74%	70%	81%	75%	71%	79%	50%	60%	76%	78%	65%	73%
The Federal Government	54%	43%	52%	61%	36%	45%	59%	49%	48%	47%	50%	49%
Scott County Government	69%	68%	68%	78%	5 <b>9</b> %	70%	65%	66%	69%	69%	67%	68%

# Table 11: Governance - Safety

		Age		Se	x	Race/e	thnicity		ising ure	Housing	unit type	
	18-					White alone,	Hispanic and/or					
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	not Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Police services	83%	84%	91%	86%	84%	88%	73%	71%	89%	92%	74%	85%
Fire services	85%	91%	98%	92%	89%	95%	77%	74%	95%	97%	81%	91%
Crime prevention	76%	70%	81%	72%	76%	77%	65%	68%	76%	76%	72%	75%
Fire prevention and education	87%	79%	85%	83%	81%	84%	75%	70%	85%	86%	77%	82%
Animal control	77%	69%	61%	70%	69%	69%	74%	73%	68%	71%	66%	69%
Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency												
situations)	60%	53%	67%	58%	58%	62%	46%	47%	61%	66%	47%	58%

# Table 12: Governance - Mobility

								Hou	ising			
		Age		Sex	(	Race/e	ethnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Traffic enforcement	90%	65%	70%	81%	67%	75%	69%	79%	73%	77%	69%	74%
Street repair	64%	49%	58%	54%	57%	56%	52%	38%	59%	59%	49%	56%
Street cleaning	78%	71%	72%	79%	68%	74%	73%	70%	74%	74%	72%	74%
Street lighting	55%	56%	66%	61%	55%	61%	47%	53%	59%	62%	51%	58%

# The National Citizen Survey™

		Age		Sex	(	Race/e	thnicity		ising iure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Snow removal	75%	68%	81%	75%	72%	77%	60%	69%	74%	76%	69%	73%
Sidewalk maintenance	75%	65%	67%	70%	67%	73%	54%	62%	71%	72%	63%	68%
Traffic signal timing	70%	51%	58%	64%	56%	60%	56%	56%	60%	61%	56%	59%

## Table 13: Governance - Natural Environment

		Age		Sex	ć	Race/e	ethnicity		sing ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Garbage collection	79%	84%	92%	86%	83%	86%	74%	78%	85%	86%	80%	84%
Recycling	80%	83%	94%	88%	81%	85%	82%	86%	83%	84%	84%	84%
Shakopee open space	63%	59%	56%	60%	59%	61%	55%	50%	61%	63%	54%	59%

# Table 14: Governance - Built Environment

									ising			
		Age		Sex	<b>K</b>	Race/e	ethnicity	ter	ure	Housing	unit type	_
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Storm drainage	91%	77%	85%	85%	83%	85%	78%	83%	83%	87%	76%	83%
Sewer services	81%	81%	88%	84%	82%	86%	69%	69%	85%	89%	71%	82%
Land use, planning and zoning	52%	48%	48%	55%	45%	51%	43%	49%	49%	51%	46%	49%
Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned												
buildings, etc.)	63%	47%	47%	52%	51%	51%	56%	59%	50%	49%	57%	52%
Cable television	51%	42%	47%	45%	48%	50%	33%	41%	47%	46%	46%	46%

# Table 15: Governance - Economy

		Age		Sex	<	Race/e	ethnicity		sing ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Economic development	66%	66%	65%	64%	67%	69%	54%	51%	69%	71%	57%	66%

## The National Citizen Survey™

#### Table 16: Governance - Recreation and Wellness

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Sex	<	Race/e	ethnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
City parks	78%	83%	88%	83%	81%	84%	73%	68%	85%	86%	75%	82%
Recreation programs or classes	63%	68%	83%	70%	68%	70%	64%	62%	71%	74%	62%	69%
Recreation centers or facilities	61%	66%	74%	67%	63%	68%	58%	57%	68%	71%	58%	66%

#### Table 17: Governance - Education and Enrichment

		Age		Sex	<	Race/e	thnicity		sing ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
City-sponsored special events	62%	55%	54%	58%	55%	58%	53%	49%	58%	62%	49%	57%

## Table 18: Governance - Community Engagement

		Age		Sex	<	Race/e	ethnicity		sing ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g.,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or other					
excellent/good)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Public information services	64%	59%	64%	61%	61%	63%	56%	49%	64%	67%	52%	61%

#### Table 19: Participation General

Table 19: Participation General												
		Age		Sex	ĸ	Race/e	thnicity		ising ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Sense of community	52%	53%	55%	57%	50%	56%	40%	56%	52%	55%	51%	53%
Recommend living in Shakopee to someone who asks	87%	84%	82%	86%	83%	89%	68%	67%	89%	89%	78%	84%
Remain in Shakopee for the next five years	80%	87%	80%	85%	81%	84%	80%	67%	87%	89%	74%	83%
Contacted the City of Shakopee (in-person, phone,												
email or web) for help or information	36%	42%	49%	44%	39%	39%	49%	40%	42%	43%	39%	41%

## Table 20: Participation - Safety

		Age		Sex		Deee /s	the sister		sing			
					<b>(</b>	Race/e	thnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	_
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
more than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Was NOT the victim of a crime	97%	86%	90%	88%	94%	90%	91%	85%	92%	91%	89%	91%
Did NOT report a crime	87%	79%	83%	83%	83%	82%	87%	85%	82%	83%	83%	83%
Stocked supplies in preparation for an emergency	15%	25%	23%	17%	24%	19%	29%	25%	20%	21%	21%	21%

#### Table 21: Participation - Mobility

		Age						Hou	ising			
		Age			(	Race/e	thnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
more than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Walked or biked instead of driving	53%	58%	43%	55%	52%	51%	61%	53%	53%	53%	53%	53%
Carpooled with other adults or children instead of												
driving alone	37%	45%	29%	47%	30%	38%	42%	41%	38%	41%	36%	39%
Used bus or other public transportation instead of												
driving	17%	14%	10%	17%	12%	11%	26%	27%	11%	11%	19%	14%

#### Table 22: Participation - Natural Environment

		Age		Se	x	Race/e	thnicity		sing ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)	18- 34	35- 54	55+	Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Recycle at home	90%	<b>99</b> %	<b>9</b> 5%	94%	96%	98%	85%	81%	99%	98%	90%	95%
Made efforts to make your home more energy efficient	75%	84%	70%	76%	80%	78%	79%	72%	80%	80%	75%	78%
Made efforts to conserve water	81%	83%	74%	78%	83%	79%	87%	79%	81%	81%	80%	80%

#### Table 23: Participation - Built Environment

		<b>A</b> go						Hou	ising			
		Age			<	Race/e	ethnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
more than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
NOT under housing cost stress	70%	73%	61%	67%	71%	74%	53%	50%	74%	71%	66%	69%
Did NOT observe a code violation	80%	57%	58%	66%	64%	67%	61%	71%	64%	62%	71%	65%

## Table 24: Participation - Economy

						Doos (athricity			sing			
		Age	e Sex			Race/ethnicity			ure	Housing		
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
more than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Purchase goods or services from a business located in												
Shakopee	94%	94%	97%	95%	94%	96%	87%	91%	<b>9</b> 5%	96%	92%	94%
Economy will have positive impact on income	32%	28%	29%	25%	34%	32%	20%	21%	32%	35%	22%	30%
Work in Shakopee	39% 47% 23%		41%	38%	35% 58%		47%	38%	40%	39%	40%	

## Table 25: Participation - Recreation and Wellness

								Hou	sing			
	Age			Sex		Race/e	thnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18-	35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
more than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Used Shakopee recreation centers or their services	46%	63%	39%	55%	49%	53%	47%	38%	56%	59%	42%	52%
Visited a neighborhood park or City park	89%	94%	67%	85%	88%	87%	88%	79%	89%	91%	81%	87%
Eat at least 5 portions of fruits and vegetables a day	84%	86%	73%	84%	81%	83%	83%	72%	86%	86%	78%	83%
Participate in moderate or vigorous physical activity	73%	89%	76%	82%	79%	82%	75%	75%	82%	82%	78%	80%
Reported being in "very good" or "excellent" health	82%	75%	49%	68%	76%	75%	64%	55%	77%	78%	63%	72%

# Table 26: Participation - Education and Enrichment

								Hou	sing			
		Age		Se	x	Race/ethnicity			ure	Housing unit type		
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes,	18-	18- 35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or					
more than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Used Shakopee public libraries or their services	55% 66% 54% 64% 56%		59%	60%	67%	58%	58%	63%	59%			
Participated in religious or spiritual activities in												
Shakopee	27%	47%	49%	37%	42%	41%	35%	33%	42%	48%	29%	40%
Attended a City-sponsored event	37%	51%	28%	41%	42%	42%	42%	27%	45%	49%	30%	41%

# Table 27: Participation - Community Engagement

						Race/ethnicity			ising			
		Age		Sex	ĸ	Race/e	thnicity	ten	ure	Housing	unit type	
Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more	18- 35-				White alone, not	Hispanic and/or						
than once a month, yes)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause or												
candidate	11%	22%	29%	19%	19%	21%	15%	13%	21%	23%	14%	19%
Contacted Shakopee elected officials (in-person, phone,												
email or web) to express your opinion	3%	16%	23%	11%	15%	12%	14%	5%	15%	15%	10%	13%
Volunteered your time to some group/activity in												
Shakopee	16%	42%	32%	32%	30%	33%	22%	9%	36%	38%	20%	31%
Participated in a club	10%	25%	23%	22%	16%	18%	25%	11%	21%	24%	13%	19%
Talked to or visited with your immediate neighbors	88%	92%	94%	89%	93%	96%	75%	79%	94%	96%	84%	91%
Done a favor for a neighbor	64%	81%	86%	75%	76%	79%	63%	67%	78%	80%	70%	76%
Attended a local public meeting	6%	22%	19%	15%	17%	16%	13%	9%	18%	17%	14%	16%
Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting	10%	26%	28%	24%	18%	19%	28%	24%	20%	22%	19%	21%
Read or watch local news (via television, paper,												
computer, etc.)	82%	86%	91%	89%	82%	88%	80%	90%	85%	86%	86%	86%
Vote in local elections	74%	91%	95%	90%	83%	89%	74%	83%	87%	90%	80%	86%

## Table 28: Community Focus Areas

	Age			Sex	(	Race/e	ethnicity	Housing tenure		Housing unit type		
	18-	18- 35-				White alone,	Hispanic and/or					
Percent rating positively (e.g., essential/very important)	34	54	55+	Female	Male	not Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Overall feeling of safety in Shakopee	91%	94%	97%	98%	89%	93%	97%	100%	<b>9</b> 2%	93%	95%	94%
Overall ease of getting to the places you usually have to visit	69%	79%	81%	79%	73%	75%	79%	86%	73%	71%	82%	76%
Quality of overall natural environment in Shakopee	80%	79%	74%	76%	81%	75%	91%	79%	78%	77%	81%	78%
Overall "built environment" of Shakopee (including overall design, buildings, parks and transportation systems)	80%	79%	74%	79%	79%	77%	84%	85%	76%	75%	83%	78%
Health and wellness opportunities in Shakopee	73%	75%	81%	76%	75%	73%	86%	74%	76%	73%	79%	75%
Overall opportunities for education and enrichment	77%	80%	76%	80%	76%	77%	85%	82%	77%	76%	81%	78%
Overall economic health of Shakopee	87%	93%	88%	87%	93%	91%	87%	78%	93%	93%	86%	90%
Sense of community	85%	84%	75%	83%	80%	79%	96%	88%	81%	82%	83%	82%

# Table 29: Question 8 Additional Line Item - Visited Downtown Shakopee

		Age		Sex	x	Race/e	ethnicity	Housing	g tenure	Housing		
	18-34	35-54	55+	Female	Male	White alone, not Hispanic	Hispanic and/or other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Visited downtown Shakopee	95%	93%	93%	93%	93%	94%	92%	95%	93%	94%	93%	93%

# Table 30: City Residential Property Code Enforcement

		Age			x	Race/ethnicity		Housing tenure		Housing		
	18-	35-				White alone,	Hispanic and/or other					
	34	54	55+	Female	Male	not Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
To what degree would you support or oppose a more												
active/strong approach by the City in enforcement of												
residential property codes?	85%	84%	93%	95%	79%	86%	89%	95%	84%	85%	88%	86%

# Table 31: Sources of Information

Diagon indiants how much of a source, if at all you consider each of	Age		Sex		Race/e	Housing tenure		Housing unit type				
Please indicate how much of a source, if at all, you consider each of the following to be for obtaining information about the City		Age			<u> </u>	White	Hispanic			riousing		
government and its activities, events and services: (Percent rating as	18-	35-				alone, not	and/or					
"Major" or "Minor source").	34	54	55+	Female	Male	Hispanic	other race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
City website (www.ShakopeeMN.gov)	85%	92%	80%	87%	88%	89%	84%	72%	<b>9</b> 2%	92%	81%	88%
Shakopee Valley News	81%	90%	85%	86%	85%	84%	90%	84%	86%	87%	83%	86%
Star Tribune	50%	48%	52%	52%	48%	46%	64%	63%	46%	45%	56%	49%
Local government cable channel (Comcast 16/CenturyLink 240)	29%	41%	49%	36%	40%	35%	53%	56%	33%	35%	44%	39%
City Newsletter (Hometown Messenger/Parks and Recreation												
Activity Brochure)	81%	84%	83%	82%	83%	83%	81%	88%	81%	82%	85%	83%
Talking with City officials	37%	53%	59%	46%	50%	48%	51%	31%	53%	56%	37%	48%
City communications via social media	71%	71%	57%	72%	63%	67%	74%	73%	67%	70%	66%	68%
Word-of-mouth	79%	79%	73%	78%	77%	79%	75%	80%	77%	79%	76%	78%
Email (E-News, eNotifications)	65%	65%	60%	67%	62%	65%	62%	59%	66%	67%	59%	64%

# Table 32: Importance of Addressing City Projects

		Age			x	Race/e		ising ure	Housing			
Please indicate how important, if at all, each of the following projects and issues will be for the City to address over the next	18-	35-				White alone,	Hispanic and/or other					
five years? (Percent rating as "Essential" or "Very important").	34	54	55+	Female	Male	not Hispanic	race	Rent	Own	Detached	Attached	Overall
Redeveloping downtown	69%	65%	61%	68%	64%	63%	80%	88%	60%	63%	71%	65%
Improving the riverfront at Huber Park	46%	40%	30%	38%	43%	36%	58%	48%	38%	39%	42%	40%
Enhancement and preservation of natural resources and open space within the City	59%	60%	54%	55%	62%	55%	73%	56%	59%	60%	56%	58%
Improving access to public transportation	49%	44%	59%	58%	40%	42%	74%	84%	39%	38%	65%	48%
Construction of a third Fire Station to improve fire and emergency response times	45%	43%	46%	53%	36%	43%	51%	56%	41%	42%	48%	44%